```
NICOLA T. HANNA
1
   United States Attorney
   BRANDON D. FOX
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   J. JAMARI BUXTON (Cal. Bar No. Pending)
   SARAH E. GERDES (Cal. Bar. No. 306015)
   Assistant United States Attorneys
   General Crimes Section
5
         1200 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-3519;
 7
                    (213) 894-4699
        Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
 8
         E-mail:
                    jamari.buxton@usdoj.gov;
                    sarah.gerdes@usdoj.gov
 9
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 18-00876-JAK
14
             Plaintiff,
                                        [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING
15
                                        TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS
16
                                        REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
             v.
                                        PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
   ANDERSON SAM BONILLA ECHEGOYEN,
                                        ACT
17
     aka "Anderson Samuel
          Bonilla,"
18
     aka "Anderson Sam Echegoyen,"
     aka "Anderson Sam Bonilla-
19
          Echegoyen,"
20
             Defendant.
21
22
         The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
23
```

Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on March 10, 2020. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of

24

25

26

27

28

the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from April 7, 2020 to July 28, 2020.
- 2. The time period of April 7, 2020 to July 28, 2020, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
- 26 //

//

- 27 //
- 28 //

## 

1	additional time periods from the period within which trial must
2	commence.
3 4 5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DAME HONODADI E TOIN A KDONGEADE
7	DATE HONORABLE JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	Presented by:
9	riesenced by.
LO L1 L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	